



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 20

MANDEL & ADRIANO  
55 S. LAKE AVENUE  
SUITE 710  
PASADENA, CA 91101

**COPY MAILED**

**MAR 05 2003**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Linsley et al. :  
Application No. 09/666,267 :  
Filed: September 21, 2000 :  
Attorney Docket No. 30436.11US06/SBA :

ON PETITION

Corrected Decision

On January 13, 2003, the Office mailed a decision dismissing the petition under 37 CFR 1.182 filed September 30, 2002 (certificate of mailing date of Monday, September 23, 2002). The petition requested transfer of formal drawings.

The decision is vacated to the extent the decision stated the application was abandoned.

Further review of the record indicates the papers filed on September 30, 2002, constitute a bona fide attempt to advance prosecution of the application.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the examiner will set a new time period to submit drawings in a future Office action unless such drawings are filed prior to such action.

The file will now be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for consideration of the request for corrected filing receipt filed on November 13, 2002. The file will then be forwarded to the examiner for further prosecution.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (703) 306-5683.

Charles Steven Brantley  
Petitions Attorney  
Office of Petitions

---

<sup>1</sup> 37 CFR 134(c) states, "When reply by the applicant is a bona fide attempt to advance the application to final action, and is substantially a complete reply to the non-final Office action, but consideration of some matter or compliance with some requirement has been inadvertently omitted, applicant may be given a new time period for reply under § 1.134 to supply the omission."